	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/523,313	ROSE, TONY GERARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
*	Marc R. Filipczyk	2161
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Marc R. Filipczyk.	(3)	
(2) Michael K. O'Neill.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final Rejection of 3/3/05		
Claims discussed: 49, 52, 73, 80, 88, 91 and 93		
Prior art documents discussed: Czerwinski (6,243,093) and Ikeda (6,564,206)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/523,313

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to clarify the scope of the invention by amending the independent claims 49, 52, 91 and 93 to include similarity between a target data set and the unselected data sets and further to include a similarity between the unselected data sets. Minor modifications to claims 73 and 80 and cancellation of claim 88 was suggested. Agreement was reached..